

On Global Attraction to Stationary States for Wave Equations with Concentrated Nonlinearities

Elena Kopylova^{1,2}

Received: 25 January 2016 / Revised: 2 November 2016 / Published online: 21 November 2016
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The global *attraction* to stationary states is established for solutions to 3D wave equations with concentrated nonlinearities: each finite energy solution converges as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ to stationary states. The attraction is caused by nonlinear energy radiation.

Keywords Wave equation · Point interaction · Stationary states · Global attraction

Mathematics Subject Classification 35B25 · 35B41 · 35L70

1 Introduction

The paper concerns a nonlinear interaction of the *real wave field* with a point oscillator. The system is governed by the following equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ddot{\psi}(x, t) = \Delta \psi(x, t) + \zeta(t) \delta(x) \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (\psi(x, t) - \zeta(t) G(x)) = F(\zeta(t)) \end{array} \right| \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

where G is the Green's function of operator $-\Delta$ in \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e.

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x|},$$

All derivatives here and below are understood in the sense of distributions. The nonlinearity admits a potential

$$F(\zeta) = U'(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad U \in C^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (1.2)$$

We assume that

$$U(\zeta) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \zeta \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

✉ Elena Kopylova
elena.kopylova@univie.ac.at

¹ Faculty of Mathematics, Vienna University, Vienna, Austria

² Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Furthermore, we assume that the set $Q = \{q \in R : F(q) = 0\}$ is nonempty. Then the system (1.1) admits stationary solutions $qG(x)$, where $q \in Q$. We suppose that the set Q satisfies the following condition

$$[a, b] \not\subset Q \text{ for any } a < b. \quad (1.4)$$

Let $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the completion of the space $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in the norm $\|\nabla \psi(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$. Equivalently, using Sobolev's embedding theorem, $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) : |\nabla f| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (1.5)$$

Denote

$$\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{f \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \Delta f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We consider Cauchy problem for system (1.1) with initial data $\Psi(x, 0) = (\psi(x, 0), \dot{\psi}(x, 0))$ which can be represented as the sum of *regular* component from $\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and *singular* component proportional to $G(x)$ (see Definition 2.1). Our main goal is the global attraction of the solution $\Psi(x, t) = (\psi(x, t), \dot{\psi}(x, t))$ to stationary states:

$$\Psi(x, t) \rightarrow (q_\pm G(x), 0), \quad t \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad q_\pm \in Q,$$

where the asymptotics hold in local $L^2 \oplus L^2$ -seminorms.

Similar global attraction was established for the first time (i) in [6–8] for 1D wave and Klein–Gordon equations coupled to nonlinear oscillators, (ii) in [9, 10] for nD Klein–Gordon and Dirac equations with mean field interaction, and (iii) in [5] for discrete in space and time nD Klein–Gordon equation equations interacting with a nonlinear oscillator.

In the context of the Schrödinger and wave equations the point interaction of type (1.1) was introduced in [1, 2, 4, 11, 12], where the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem and the blow up solutions were studied. The orbital and asymptotic stability of soliton solutions for the Schrödinger equation with the point interaction has been established in [3]. The global attraction for 3D equations with the point interaction was not studied up to now. In the present paper we prove for the first time the global attraction in the case of 3D wave equation.

Let us comment on our approach. First, similarly to [8–10], we represent the solution as the sum of *dispersive* and *singular* components. The dispersive component is a solution of the free wave equation with the same initial data $\Psi(x, 0)$. The singular component is a solution of a coupled system of wave equation with zero initial data and a point source, and of a nonlinear ODE.

We prove the long-time decay of the dispersive component in local $H^2 \oplus H^1$ -seminorms. To establish the decay for regular part of the dispersive component, corresponding to regular initial data from $H^2 \oplus H^1$, we apply the strong Huygens principle and the energy conservation for the free wave equation. For the remaining singular part we apply the strong Huygens principle. The dispersive decay is caused by the energy radiation to infinity.

Finally, we study the nonlinear ODE with a source. We prove that the source decays and then the attractor of the ODE coincides with the set of zeros of the nonlinear function F , i.e. with the set Q . This allows us to prove the convergence of the singular component of the solution to one of the stationary solution in local $L^2 \oplus L^2$ -seminorms.

2 Main Results

Model

We fix a nonlinear function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and define the domain

$$D_F = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : \psi(x) = \psi_{reg}(x) + \zeta G(x), \quad \psi_{reg} \in \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \psi_{reg}(x) = F(\zeta) \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

which generally is not a linear space. The limit in (2.1) is well defined since $\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset C(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem.

Let H_F be a nonlinear operator on the domain D_F defined by

$$H_F \psi = \Delta \psi_{reg}, \quad \psi \in D_F. \quad (2.2)$$

The system (1.1) for $\psi(t) \in D_F$ reads

$$\ddot{\psi}(x, t) = H_F \psi(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let us introduce the phase space for Eq. (2.3). Denote the space

$$\dot{D} = \{ \pi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : \pi(x) = \pi_{reg}(x) + \eta G(x), \quad \pi_{reg} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Obviously, $D_F \subset \dot{D}$.

Definition 2.1 \mathcal{D}_F is the Hilbert space of the states $\Psi = (\psi(x), \pi(x)) \in D_F \oplus \dot{D}$ equipped with the finite norm

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 := \|\nabla \psi_{reg}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Delta \psi_{reg}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla \pi_{reg}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + |\zeta|^2 + |\eta|^2.$$

Well-Posedness

Theorem 2.2 Let conditions (1.2) and (1.3) hold. Then

- (i) For every initial data $\Psi(0) = (\psi(0), \dot{\psi}(0)) \in \mathcal{D}_F$ the Eq. (2.3) has a unique strong solution $\psi(t)$ such that
- (ii) The energy is conserved:

$$\mathcal{H}_F(\Psi(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\dot{\psi}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla \psi_{reg}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \right) + U(\zeta(t)) = \text{const}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (iii) The following a priori bound holds

$$|\zeta(t)| \leq C(\Psi(0)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

This result is proved in [12, Theorem 3.1]. For the convenience of readers, we sketch main steps of the proof in Appendix in the case $t \geq 0$ clarifying some details of [12]. As the result the solution $\psi(x, t)$ to (2.3) with initial data $\psi(0) = \psi_0 \in D_F$, $\dot{\psi}(0) = \pi_0 \in \dot{D}$ can be represented as the sum

$$\psi(x, t) := \psi_f(x, t) + \psi_s(x, t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where the *dispersive component* $\psi_f(x, t)$ is a unique solution of the Cauchy problem for the free wave equation

$$\ddot{\psi}_f(x, t) = \Delta \psi_f(x, t), \quad \psi_f(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), \quad \dot{\psi}_f(x, 0) = \pi_0(x), \quad (2.6)$$

and the *singular component* $\psi_S(x, t)$ is a unique solution of the Cauchy problem for the wave equation with a point source

$$\ddot{\psi}_S(x, t) = \Delta \psi_S(x, t) + \zeta(t)\delta(x), \quad \psi_S(x, 0) = 0, \quad \dot{\psi}_S(x, 0) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Here $\zeta(t) \in C_b^1([0, \infty))$ is a unique solution to the Cauchy problem for the following first-order nonlinear ODE

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \dot{\zeta}(t) + F(\zeta(t)) = \lambda(t), \quad \zeta(0) = \zeta_0, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\lambda(t) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \psi_f(x, t), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

Next lemma implies that limit (2.9) is well defined, and there exists $\lambda(0+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \lambda(t)$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $(\psi_0, \pi_0) \in \mathcal{D}_F$. Then*

- (i) *There exists a unique solution $\psi_f \in C([0; \infty), L_{loc}^2)$ to (2.6).*
- (ii) *The limit in (2.9) exists and is continuous in $t \in [0, \infty)$.*
- (iii) *$\dot{\lambda} \in L_{loc}^2([0, \infty))$.*

Proof (i) We split $\psi_f(x, t)$ as

$$\psi_f(x, t) = \psi_{f,reg}(x, t) + g(x, t),$$

where $\psi_{f,reg}$ and g are the solutions to the free wave equation with initial data $(\psi_{0,reg}, \pi_{0,reg}) \in \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(\zeta_0 G, \dot{\zeta}_0 G)$, respectively. By the energy conservation $\psi_{f,reg} \in C([0, \infty), \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Now we obtain an explicit formula for $g(x, t)$. Note that $h(x, t) = g(x, t) - \xi(t)G(x)$, where $\xi(t) = \zeta_0 + t\dot{\zeta}_0$, satisfies

$$\ddot{h}(x, t) = \Delta h(x, t) - \xi(t)\delta(x) \quad (2.10)$$

with zero initial data. The unique solution to (2.10) is the spherical wave

$$h(x, t) = -\frac{\theta(t - |x|)}{4\pi|x|} \xi(t - |x|), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where θ is the Heaviside function. This is well-known formula [14, Section 175] for the retarded potential of the point particle. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, t) &= h(x, t) + \xi(t)G(x) = -\frac{\theta(t - |x|)(\zeta_0 + (t - |x|)\dot{\zeta}_0)}{4\pi|x|} \\ &\quad + \frac{\zeta_0 + t\dot{\zeta}_0}{4\pi|x|} \in C([0, \infty), L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g(x, t) = \dot{\zeta}_0/(4\pi), \quad t > 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover, for any $t \geq 0$ the $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \psi_{f,reg}(x, t)$ exists because $\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset C(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

(iii) Due to (2.12) it remains to show that $\dot{\psi}_{f,reg}(0, t) \in L_{loc}^2([0, \infty))$. This follows immediately from [12, Lemma 3.4]. \square

Stationary Solutions and the Main Theorem

The stationary solutions of Eq. (2.3) are solutions of the form

$$\psi_q(x) = qG(x) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad q \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.13)$$

Lemma 2.4 (Existence of stationary solutions). *Function (2.13) is a stationary soliton to (2.3) if and only if*

$$F(q) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof Evidently, $\psi_q(x)$ admits the splitting $\psi_q(x) = \psi_{reg}(x, t) + \zeta(t)G(x)$, $\psi_{reg}(x, t) \equiv 0$ and $\zeta(t) \equiv q$. Hence, the second equation of (1.1) is equivalent to (2.14). \square

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 (Main Theorem) *Let assumptions (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) hold and let $\psi(x, t)$ be a solution to eq. (2.3) with initial data $\Psi(0) = (\psi(0), \dot{\psi}(0)) \in \mathcal{D}_F$. Then*

$$(\psi(t), \dot{\psi}(t)) \rightarrow (\psi_{q_{\pm}}, 0), \quad t \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad q_{\pm} \in Q,$$

where the convergence hold in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

It suffices to prove Theorem 2.5 for $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

3 Dispersion Component

We will only consider the solution $\psi(x, t)$ restricted to $t \geq 0$. In this section we extract regular and singular parts from the dispersion component $\psi_f(x, t)$ and establish their local decay. First, we represent the initial data $(\psi(0), \dot{\psi}(0)) = (\psi_0, \pi_0) \in \mathcal{D}_F$ as

$$(\psi_0, \pi_0) = (\psi_{0,reg}, \pi_{0,reg}) + (\zeta_0 G, \dot{\zeta}_0 G) = (\varphi_0, \eta_0) + (\zeta_0 \chi G, \dot{\zeta}_0 \chi G),$$

where a cut-of function $\chi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Let us show that

$$(\varphi_0, \eta_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (3.2)$$

Indeed,

$$(\varphi_0, \eta_0) = (\psi_0 - \zeta_0 \chi G, \pi_0 - \dot{\zeta}_0 \chi G) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

On the other hand,

$$(\varphi_0, \eta_0) = (\psi_{0,reg} + \zeta_0(1 - \chi)G, \pi_{0,reg} + \dot{\zeta}_0(1 - \chi)G) \in \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Now we split the dispersion component $\psi_f(x, t)$ as

$$\psi_f(x, t) = \varphi(x, t) + \psi_G(x, t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where φ and ψ_G are defined as solutions to the following Cauchy problems:

$$\ddot{\varphi}(x, t) = \Delta \varphi(x, t), \quad (\varphi, \dot{\varphi})|_{t=0} = (\varphi_0, \eta_0), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\ddot{\psi}_G(x, t) = \Delta \psi_G(x, t), \quad (\psi_G, \dot{\psi}_G)|_{t=0} = (\zeta_0 \chi G, \dot{\zeta}_0 \chi G), \quad (3.5)$$

and study the decay properties of ψ_G and φ .

Lemma 3.1 *For the solution $\psi_G(x, t)$ to (3.5) the strong Huygens principle holds:*

$$\psi_G(x, t) = 0 \text{ for } t \geq |x| + 2. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof The solution $\varphi_G(x, t)$ to the free wave equation with initial data $(0, \chi G) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies the strong Huygens principle due to [13, Theorem XI.87]. Further,

$$\psi_G(x, t) = \zeta_0 \dot{\varphi}_G(x, t) + \dot{\zeta}_0 \varphi_G(x, t).$$

Then (3.6) follows. \square

The following lemma states a local decay of solutions to the free wave equation with regular initial data from $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\varphi(t)$ be a solution to (3.4) with initial data $\phi_0 = (\varphi_0, \eta_0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then*

$$\|(\varphi(t), \dot{\varphi}(t))\|_{H^2(B_R) \oplus H^1(B_R)} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall R > 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where B_R is the ball of radius R .

Proof For any $r \geq 1$ denote $\chi_r = \chi(x/r)$, where $\chi(x)$ is a cut-off function defined in (3.1). Let $u_r(t)$ and $v_r(t)$ be the solutions to the free wave equations with the initial data $\chi_r \phi_0$ and $(1 - \chi_r)\phi_0$, respectively, so that $u(t) = u_r(t) + v_r(t)$. By the strong Huygens principle

$$u_r(x, t) = 0 \text{ for } t \geq |x| + 2r.$$

To conclude (3.7), it remains to note that

$$\begin{aligned} \| (v_r(t), \dot{v}_r(t)) \|_{H^2(B_R) \oplus H^1(B_R)} &\leq C(R) \| (v_r(t), \dot{v}_r(t)) \|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &= C(R) \| (1 - \chi_r)\phi_0 \|_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq C(R) \| (1 - \chi_r)\phi_0 \|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

due to the energy conservation for the free wave equation. We also use the embedding $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The right-hand side of (3.8) could be made arbitrarily small if $r \geq 1$ is sufficiently large. \square

Finally, (3.3), (3.6), (3.2) and Lemma 3.2 imply

$$\|(\psi_f(t), \dot{\psi}_f(t))\|_{H^2(B_R) \oplus H^1(B_R)} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall R > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

4 Singular Component

Due to (3.9) to prove Theorem 2.5 it suffices to deduce the convergence to stationary states for the singular component $\psi_S(x, t)$ of the solution.

Proposition 4.1 *Let assumptions of Theorem 2.5 hold, and let $\psi_S(t)$ be a solution to (2.7). Then*

$$(\psi_S(t), \dot{\psi}_S(t)) \rightarrow (\psi_{q\pm}, 0), \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the convergence holds in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof The unique solution to (2.7) is the spherical wave

$$\psi_S(x, t) = \frac{\theta(t - |x|)}{4\pi|x|} \zeta(t - |x|), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.1)$$

cf. (2.10–2.11). Then a priori bound (2.4) and Eq. (2.8) imply that

$$(\psi_S(t), \dot{\psi}_S(t)) \in L^2(B_R) \oplus L^2(B_R), \quad 0 \leq R < t.$$

First, we obtain a convergence of $\zeta(t)$. □

Lemma 4.2 *There exists the limit*

$$\zeta(t) \rightarrow q_+, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.2)$$

where $q_+ \in Q$.

Proof From (2.4) it follows that $\zeta(t)$ has the upper and lower limits:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t) = a, \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t) = b.$$

Suppose that $a < b$. Then the trajectory $\zeta(t)$ oscillates between a and b . Assumption (1.4) implies that $F(\zeta_0) \neq 0$ for some $\zeta_0 \in (a, b)$. For the concreteness, let us assume that $F(\zeta_0) > 0$. The convergence (3.9) implies that

$$\lambda(t) = \psi_f(0, t) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Hence, for sufficiently large T we have

$$-F(\zeta_0) + \lambda(t) < 0, \quad t \geq T.$$

Then for $t \geq T$ the transition of the trajectory from left to right through the point ζ_0 is impossible by (2.8). Therefore, $a = b = q_+$. Finally $F(q_+) = 0$ by (2.8). □

Further,

$$\theta(t - |x|) \rightarrow 1, \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.4)$$

uniformly in $|x| \leq R$. Then (4.1) and (4.2) imply that

$$\psi_S(t) \rightarrow q_+ G, \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the convergence holds in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It remains to deduce the convergence of $\dot{\psi}_S(t)$. We have

$$\dot{\psi}_S(x, t) = \frac{\theta(t - |x|)}{4\pi|x|} \dot{\zeta}(t - |x|), \quad t > |x|.$$

From (4.2), (2.8) and (4.3) it follows that $\dot{\zeta}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\dot{\psi}_S(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by (4.4). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 2.5. □

Acknowledgements Open access funding provided by University of Vienna. This research supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant No. P27492-N25 and RFBR Grants.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Appendix

Here we sketch main steps of the proof [12, Theorem 3.1]. First we adjust the nonlinearity F so that it becomes Lipschitz-continuous. Define

$$\Lambda(\Psi_0) = \sup\{|\zeta| : \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, U(\zeta) \leq H_F(\Psi_0)\}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\Psi_0 = \Psi(0) \in \mathcal{D}_F$ is the initial data from Theorem 2.2. Then we may pick a modified potential function $\tilde{U}(\zeta) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, so that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{U}(\zeta) = U(\zeta), & |\zeta| \leq \Lambda(\Psi_0) \\ \tilde{U}(\zeta) > H_F(\Psi_0), & |\zeta| > \Lambda(\Psi_0), \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

and the function $\tilde{F}(\zeta) = \tilde{U}'(\zeta)$ is Lipschitz continuous:

$$|\tilde{F}(\zeta_1) - \tilde{F}(\zeta_2)| \leq C|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|, \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.3)$$

We consider the Cauchy problem for (2.3) with the modified nonlinearity \tilde{F} . According to Lemma 2.3 there exist the unique solution $\psi_f(x, t) \in C([0, \infty), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to (2.6) and $\lambda(t) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \psi_f(x, t) \in C([0, \infty))$. The following lemma follows by the contraction mapping principle.

Lemma 5.1 *Let conditions (5.2–5.3) be satisfied. Then there exists $\tau > 0$ such that the Cauchy problem*

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \dot{\zeta}(t) + \tilde{F}(\zeta(t)) = \lambda(t), \quad \zeta(0) = \zeta_0 \quad (5.4)$$

has a unique solution $\zeta \in C^1([0, \tau])$.

Denote

$$\psi_S(t, x) := \frac{\theta(t - |x|)}{4\pi|x|} \zeta(t - |x|), \quad t \in [0, \tau],$$

with ζ from Lemma 5.1. Now we establish the local well-posedness.

Proposition 5.2 *Let the conditions (5.2)–(5.3) hold. Then the function $\psi(x, t) := \psi_f(x, t) + \psi_S(x, t)$ is a unique strong solution to the system*

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{\psi}(x, t) = \Delta \psi(x, t) + \zeta(t) \delta(x) \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (\psi(x, t) - \zeta(t) G(x)) = \tilde{F}(\zeta(t)) \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (5.5)$$

with initial data

$$\psi(0) = \psi_0 \in D_{\tilde{F}}, \quad \dot{\psi}(0) = \pi_0 \in \dot{D},$$

and satisfies

$$(\psi(t), \dot{\psi}(t)) \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{F}}, \quad t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof Since $\zeta(t)$ solves (5.4) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (\psi(t, x) - \zeta(t) G(x)) &= \lambda(t) + \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\theta(t - |x|) \zeta(t - |x|)}{4\pi|x|} - \frac{\zeta(t)}{4\pi|x|} \right) \\ &= \lambda(t) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \dot{\zeta}(t) = \tilde{F}(\zeta(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Therefore, the second equation of (5.5) is satisfied. Further,

$$\ddot{\psi} = \ddot{\psi}_f + \ddot{\psi}_S = \Delta\psi_f + \Delta\psi_S + \zeta\delta = \Delta\psi + \zeta\delta$$

and ψ solves the first equation of (5.5) then. Let us check (5.6). Note that the function $\psi_{reg,1}(x, t) = \psi(x, t) - \zeta(t)G_1(x)$, where $G_1(x) = G(x)e^{-|x|}$, is a solution to

$$\ddot{\psi}_{reg,1}(x, t) = \Delta\psi_{reg,1}(x, t) + (\zeta(t) - \ddot{\zeta}(t))G_1(x)$$

with initial data from $H^2 \oplus H^1$. Lemma 2.3-(iii) and Eq. (5.4) imply that $\ddot{\zeta} \in L^2([0, \tau])$. Hence,

$$(\psi_{reg,1}(x, t), \dot{\psi}_{reg,1}(x, t)) \in H^2 \oplus H^1, \quad t \in [0, \tau]$$

by [12, Lemma 3.2]. Therefore,

$$\psi_{reg}(x, t) = \psi(x, t) - \zeta(t)G(x) = \psi_{reg,1}(x, t) + \zeta(t)(G_1(x) - G(x))$$

satisfies $(\psi_{reg}(t), \dot{\psi}_{reg}(t)) \in \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $t \in [0, \tau]$, and (5.6) holds then.

Suppose now that $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}_{reg} + \tilde{\zeta}G$, such that $(\tilde{\psi}, \dot{\tilde{\psi}}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{F}}$, is another strong solution of (5.5). Then, by reversing the above argument, the second equation of (5.5) implies that $\tilde{\zeta}$ solves the Cauchy problem (5.4). The uniqueness of the solution of (5.4) implies that $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta$. Then, defining

$$\psi_S(t, x) := \frac{\theta(t - |x|)}{4\pi|x|}\zeta(t - |x|), \quad t \in [0, \tau],$$

for $\tilde{\psi}_f = \tilde{\psi} - \psi_S$ one obtains

$$\ddot{\tilde{\psi}}_f = \ddot{\tilde{\psi}} - \ddot{\psi}_S = \Delta\tilde{\psi}_{reg} - (\Delta\psi_S + \zeta\delta) = \Delta(\tilde{\psi}_{reg} - (\psi_S - \zeta G)) = \Delta\tilde{\psi}_f,$$

i.e. $\tilde{\psi}_f$ solves the Cauchy problem (2.6). Hence, $\tilde{\psi}_f = \psi_f$ by the uniqueness of the solution to (2.6), and then $\tilde{\psi} = \psi$. \square

According to [12, Lemma 3.7]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{F}}(\Psi(t)) = \|\dot{\psi}(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla\psi_{reg}(t)\|^2 + \tilde{U}(\zeta(t)) = \text{const}, \quad t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (5.8)$$

Lemma 5.3 *The following identity holds*

$$\tilde{U}(\zeta(t)) = U(\zeta(t)), \quad t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (5.9)$$

Proof First note that

$$\mathcal{H}_F(\Psi_0) \geq U(\zeta_0).$$

Therefore, $|\zeta_0| \leq \Lambda(\Psi_0)$, and then $\tilde{U}(\zeta_0) = U(\zeta_0)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{F}}(\Psi_0) = \mathcal{H}_F(\Psi_0)$. Further,

$$\mathcal{H}_F(\Psi_0) = \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{F}}(\Psi(t)) \geq \tilde{U}(\zeta(t)), \quad t \in [0, \tau].$$

Hence (5.8) implies that

$$|\zeta(t)| \leq \Lambda(\Psi_0), \quad t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (5.10)$$

\square

From the identity (5.9) it follows that we can replace \tilde{F} by F in Proposition 5.2 and in (5.8). The solution $\Psi(t) = (\psi(t), \dot{\psi}(t)) \in \mathcal{D}$ constructed in Proposition 5.2 exists for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, where the time span τ in Lemma 5.1 depends only on $\Lambda(\Psi_0)$. Hence, the bound (5.10) at $t = \tau$ allows us to extend the solution Ψ to the time interval $[\tau, 2\tau]$. We proceed by induction to obtain the solution for all $t \geq 0$.

References

1. Adami, R., Dell'Antonio, G., Figari, R., Teta, A.: The Cauchy problem for the Schrödinger equation in dimension three with concentrated nonlinearity. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **20**, 477–500 (2003)
2. Adami, R., Dell'Antonio, G., Figari, R., Teta, A.: Blow-up solutions for the Schrödinger equation in dimension three with a concentrated nonlinearity. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **21**, 121–137 (2004)
3. Adami, R., Noja, D., Ortleva, C.: Orbital and asymptotic stability for standing waves of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with concentrated nonlinearity in dimension three. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**(1), 013501 (2013)
4. Albeverio, S., Gesztesy, F., Hoegh-Krohn, R., Holden, H.: *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*. Springer, New York (1988)
5. Comech, A.: Weak attractor of the Klein–Gordon field in discrete space-time interacting with a nonlinear oscillator. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **33**(7), 2711–2755 (2013)
6. Komech, A.I.: On stabilization of string-nonlinear oscillator interaction. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **196**, 384–409 (1995)
7. Komech, A.: On transitions to stationary states in one-dimensional nonlinear wave equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **149**, 213–228 (1999)
8. Komech, A.I., Komech, A.A.: Global attractor for a nonlinear oscillator coupled to the Klein–Gordon field. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **185**, 105–142 (2007)
9. Komech, A.I., Komech, A.A.: Global attraction to solitary waves for Klein–Gordon equation with mean field interaction. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **26**(3), 855–868 (2009)
10. Komech, A.I., Komech, A.A.: Global attraction to solitary waves for nonlinear Dirac equation with mean field interaction. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **42**(6), 2944–2964 (2010)
11. Kurasov, P., Posilicano, A.: Finite speed of propagation and local boundary conditions for wave equations with point interactions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **133**(10), 3071–3078 (2005)
12. Noja, D., Posilicano, A.: Wave equations with concentrated nonlinearities. *J. Phys. A* **38**(22), 5011–5022 (2005)
13. Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*. Academic Press, New York (1979)
14. Smirnov, V.I.: *A Course of Higher Mathematics*. Pergamon Press, New York (1964)